

Discussion Rubric #2

Criteria	Ratings			
<p>Post Quality (33%)</p>	<p>3 pts (100%) Exemplary</p> <p>Posts and replies are thoughtful and are organized to present a clear point of view or idea using rich and significant detail. Draws insightful conclusions that are thoroughly defended with evidence and examples.</p>	<p>2 pts (67%) Proficient</p> <p>Posts and replies are thorough and well-informed and present a clear point of view or idea using appropriate detail. Draws informed conclusions that are justified with evidence.</p>	<p>1 pts (33%) Needs Improvement</p> <p>Posts and replies develop a point of view or idea but have some gaps in organization and detail. Draws logical conclusions.</p>	<p>0 pts (0%) Not Evident</p> <p>Does not draw logical conclusions, or does not post.</p>
<p>Post Relevance (33%)</p>	<p>3 pts (100%) Exemplary</p> <p>Posts and replies are well-informed about the topic and prompt further discussion.</p>	<p>2 pts (67%) Proficient</p> <p>Posts and replies are well-informed and relevant to the discussion topic.</p>	<p>1 pts (33%) Needs Improvement</p> <p>Posts and replies are short, generic and/or irrelevant.</p>	<p>0 pts (0%) Not Evident</p> <p>No posting.</p>
<p>Contribution to Learning (33%)</p>	<p>3 pts (100%) Exemplary</p> <p>Attempts to motivate the discussion and prompt further responses with relevant and meaningful response posts.</p>	<p>2 pts (67%) Proficient</p> <p>Presents responses for the consideration of the class with some explanation and detail.</p>	<p>1 pts (33%) Needs Improvement</p> <p>Replies are somewhat relevant with some explanation and detail but do not engage with the larger discussion as it develops.</p>	<p>0 pts (0%) Not Evident</p> <p>No response was provided by the due date, or provides response posts that are generic with little explanation or detail</p>